UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/511,026	05/31/2005	Monika Hedding-Eckerich	ARTHP110US	8757
Gregory Turocy	7590 08/26/200 7	EXAMINER		
Amin & Turocy	7	LEWIS, PATRICK T		
National City Center 1900 East 9th Street 24th Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Cleveland, OH	44114	1623		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/26/2008	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/511,026	HEDDING-ECKERICH, MONIKA		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Patrick T. Lewis	1		

	Fatilick 1. Lewis	1023					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>25 July 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperior Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavited al (with appeal fee) in compliance w	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth i ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.				
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(1		THO THE ET WAS TH					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
2. ☑ The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>25 July 2008</u> . A brief in	compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 mu	ust be filed within two	months of the				
date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or an Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be	ny extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37	7(e)), to avoid dismiss	al of the appeal.				
AMENDMENTS		20 ( b ( d b -					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, k			cause				
<ul> <li>(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor</li> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below</li> </ul>	•	E below);					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better	•	lucina or simplifyina tl	ne issues for				
appeal; and/or	er form for appear by materially rec	idonig of Simplifying ti	10 133403 101				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	, ,						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			, .				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the				
non-allowable claim(s).	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		g				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-17</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a				
10.	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
11. $\square$ The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
	/Dr. Datrials T. Lavis						
	/Dr. Patrick T. Lewis/	nit 1622					
	Primary Examiner, Art U	THE 1023					